

NATIONAL CONTACT POINT REPORTING QUESTIONNAIRE 2021

Questionnaire – for reference only

This document may be used as a reference to complete the questionnaire online.

Guidance and instructions on how to complete the questionnaire online are available on the NCP community site [here](#).

STRUCTURE

- A. Contact information
 - B. Institutional arrangements
 - C. Information and promotion
 - D. Specific instances
 - E. Peer learning and peer reviews
 - F. Policy coherence
 - G. Challenges
- Annex: NCP promotional activities and Specific instances*
Additional Materials (optional)**

* Completed in a word document and uploaded at the end of the questionnaire (see section **INSTRUCTIONS** in the Guidance and instructions [here](#))

** Additional materials, such as those prompted in certain questions during the online questionnaire (ex: rules of procedure, legislations) or other relevant documents the NCP may wish to share with the Secretariat (ex: flyers or other promotional materials), may be uploaded on the last page of the online questionnaire.

NCP Annual Reporting Questionnaire 2021

For reference only: the questionnaire must be completed online

(see guidance and instructions [here](#))

A. CONTACT INFORMATION	
<i>Please provide the contact information of the person filling in the questionnaire.</i>	
Name:	Åse Sand
Job title:	Senior advisor
Email:	Ase.Kristin.Sand@mfa.no
Telephone number:	+47-98459718
B. INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS	
(a) Structure, location and composition of the NCP	
1. What is the <u>structure of the NCP</u> ?	Expert-based
2. Who are the members of the NCP? <i>Please describe the functions of the members of the NCP and the ministry/agency or stakeholder organisation they represent. No names are required.</i>	There is a chairperson nominated jointly by the Ministry of foreign affairs and Ministry of trade and fisheries. The other members are nominated by the social partners and civil society organisations respectively.
3. If the NCP is not a <u>single-agency NCP</u> , does it have a <u>secretariat</u> ?	Yes
If yes, in which ministry or agency?	Ministry of Foreign Affairs
If yes, in which department of the ministry or agency?	Department for sustainable development
4. Has the NCP been established through a legislative, regulatory or administrative instrument (e.g. a statute, a decree, a ministerial resolution)?	No
If yes, please provide the name and date of this instrument, and a link if available	The Ministry of Foreign Affairs and the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Fisheries, in consultation with the Ministry of Finance and the Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs, have adopted a mandate for Norway's National Contact Point for Responsible Business Conduct (Norway NCP).
Additional comments on Structure, location and composition of the NCP Norway's NCP is established as an impartial, independent public body that gives legally non-binding advice in accordance with the Guidelines. The Secretariat is located in the Ministry of Foreign Affairs' offices, but act independent of the Ministry, as mentioned above. Norway's NCP has four members who are appointed on the basis of their professional expertise and experience. A gender balance is to be sought. The chair is appointed for four years, the remaining three members for three years at a time. Members may be re-elected once.	
(b) Advisory body	
5. Does the NCP have one or several <u>advisory bodies</u> ?	No

If the NCP has several advisory bodies, please specify.	N/A		
If yes, please describe the advisory body(ies)' functions (e.g. advising on promotion, on specific instance handling in general, on individual cases, providing oversight, etc.)	N/A		
If yes, please list the names of organisations that are represented on the advisory body(ies) and the type of organisation. (e.g. government, business, business organisation, NGO, trade union, academia, consumer organisation, etc.)	N/A		
If yes, please indicate how often the advisory body(ies) meets	Choose an item.		
6. If an advisory body provides oversight, please describe the oversight procedure	N/A		
<i>Additional comments on Advisory body:</i> Click here to enter text.			
(c) Human and financial resources			
7. Does the NCP have dedicated full-time staff? <i>If yes, please indicate the number.</i>	Yes	3	
8. Does the NCP have dedicated part-time staff? <i>If yes, please indicate in the second box the number of part-time staff members and in the third box the percentage of time spent on NCP matters for each part-time staff member.</i>	No	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
9. Have any full-time or part-time staff members joined the secretariat during the year? <i>If yes, please indicate how many.</i>	Yes, full-time staff member(s)	1	
10. Have any full-time or part-time staff members left the NCP during the year? <i>If yes, please indicate how many.</i>	No	Click here to enter text.	
11. Did the NCP have a <u>dedicated budget</u> this year?	Yes		
If no, were financial resources for promotional activities allocated on an ad hoc basis when requested by the NCP?	Choose an item.		
If no, were financial resources for specific instances allocated on an ad hoc basis when requested by the NCP?	Choose an item.		
12. During the year, did the human and financial resources available to the NCP allow it to:			
Handle specific instances in an efficient and timely manner	Yes		
Organise promotional events	Yes		
Attend NCP meetings at the OECD	Yes		
Attend events organised by other NCPs	Yes		
Attend events organised by stakeholders	Yes		
Cover professional mediator fees or in-house mediator fees	Yes		
Conduct fact-finding research into specific instances	Yes		
If you answered no to any of the above, please specify	Click here to enter text.		

<p><i>Additional comments on Human and financial resources:</i> Click here to enter text.</p>	
(d) Reporting	
13. Does the NCP <u>report</u> to the executive on its activities?	Yes
If yes, how often?	On an ongoing basis
14. Does the NCP report to the legislative body on its activities?	No
If yes, how often?	Choose an item.
15. Please indicate any other relevant transparency requirement (e.g. access to information or disclosure laws) applicable to the NCP in your country	The Norwegian NCP complies with the Freedom of Information Act and has its own website. The NCP reports on its activities annually to the public, to the OECD Investment Committee, and to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Fisheries, the Ministry of Finance, and the Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs.
<p><i>Additional comments on Reporting:</i> The Ministry of Foreign Affairs reports on the activities of the NCP to the Parliament.</p>	

C. INFORMATION AND PROMOTION		
(a) NCP website¹		
16. Does the NCP have a website? If yes, please provide the link in the second box.	Yes	https://www.responsiblebusiness.no/en/
If yes, was the website created/renovated this year?	No	
17. Are the following items available on the NCP website?		
Information about the Guidelines and the role of the NCP		
The text of the Guidelines	Yes	
A description of the Guidelines	Yes	
The OECD Due Diligence Guidance Documents	Yes	
Explanatory text about due diligence	Yes	
Information on the NCP and its mandate	Yes	
The NCP Annual Report submitted to the OECD	Yes	
The NCP's Report to the executive and/or legislative (if applicable)	Yes	
The NCP's peer review report (if applicable)	Yes	

¹ This includes dedicated NCP webpages as part of the Ministry's website.

Information about specific instances	
Information on how to submit a specific instance	Yes
An online form to submit a specific instance	Yes
The NCP's rules of procedure	Yes
All final statements since 2011	Yes
Information on promotional activities	
The NCP's promotional plan	No
Information on upcoming events promoting the Guidelines	Yes
Information on past events promoting the Guidelines	Yes
Contact information	
Information on how to make an enquiry to the NCP	Yes
A phone number to reach the NCP directly	Yes
An email address to reach the NCP directly	Yes
<i>Additional comments on NCP website:</i> Click here to enter text.	
(b) Promotional activities²	
18. Does the NCP have a promotional plan for the coming year(s)?	Yes
19. Did the NCP organise or co-organise events to promote the Guidelines and/or the NCP during the year? <i>If yes, please provide details in table 1 in Annex 1.</i>	Yes
20. Did the NCP make a presentation to promote the Guidelines and/or the NCP in events organised by others? <i>If yes, please provide details in table 2 in Annex 1.</i>	Yes
21. Did the NCP make use of social media to communicate on NCP promotional activities during the year?	Yes
22. Did the NCP hold a <u>stakeholder meeting</u> during the year?	Yes
23. Did the NCP promote the Guidelines among the business community during the year?	Yes
24. Did the NCP carry out any training on the Guidelines aimed at businesses during the year?	Yes
25. Did the NCP promote the Guidelines among NGOs during the year?	Yes
26. Did the NCP promote the Guidelines among trade unions during the year?	Yes

² Attendance of NCP meetings held at the OECD and other OECD events such as the Global Forum on Responsible Business Conduct are not considered to be promotional activities.

27. Did the NCP promote the Guidelines among government agencies during the year?	Yes
28. Did the NCP promote the Guidelines among embassies abroad during the year?	Yes
29. Did the NCP promote the Guidelines to investment promotion agencies during the year?	Yes
30. Did the NCP focus on any of the following during promotional activities during the year:	
OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Business Conduct	Yes
OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains in the Garment and Footwear Sector	Yes
Due Diligence for Responsible Corporate Lending and Securities Underwriting and/or Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors	Yes
OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas	Yes
OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Meaningful Stakeholder Engagement in the Extractive Sector	Yes
OECD-FAO Guidance for Responsible Agricultural Supply Chains	Yes
<i>Additional comments on the section Promotional activities:</i> Click here to enter text.	

D. SPECIFIC INSTANCES	
(a) NCP rules of procedure for handling specific instances	
31. Does the NCP have rules of procedure describing the handling of specific instances?	Yes
32. Are the rules of procedure available online? <i>If yes, please provide a link in the second box. If no, please upload a copy of the rules of procedure in the Additional Materials section at the end of the online questionnaire</i>	Yes Rules of Procedure
33. Were the NCP's rules of procedure modified this year?	No
<i>Additional comments on the section Rules of procedure:</i> Click here to enter text.	
(b) Specific instance practicalities	
34. Does the NCP confirm receipt of a specific instance submission?	Yes

35. Does the NCP request feedback from the parties on the procedure following the conclusion of a specific instance?	Yes
36. Has the NCP staff undergone training in dispute resolution or problem solving (e.g. mediation)?	No
37. Did the NCP engage professional mediators during the year?	Yes
38. Did the NCP staff or members conduct mediation this year?	No
If yes, or no: was mediation conducted by an external mediator?	
<p><i>Additional comments on the section Specific instance practicalities:</i> The NCP has an ongoing case from 2020 with external mediators and engaged in 2021 external mediators in two new specific instances. However, the mediation did not start in 2021.</p>	
(c) Reporting specific instances	
39. Did the NCP receive new specific instance submissions during the year? <i>If yes, please also provide details in Annex 2 of the NCP Annual Reporting Annex document to be uploaded at the end of the online questionnaire</i>	Yes
40. Did the NCP close specific instances during the year? <i>If yes, please also provide details in Annex 2 of the NCP Annual Reporting Annex document to be uploaded at the end of the online questionnaire</i>	No
41. Of the specific instances that were already in progress at the start of the year, are there any that are still ongoing at the end of the year? <i>If yes, please also provide details in Annex 2 of the NCP Annual Reporting Annex document to be uploaded at the end of the online questionnaire</i>	Yes
42. Did the NCP follow up on a case during the year? <i>If yes, please also provide details in Annex 2 of the NCP Annual Reporting Annex document to be uploaded at the end of the online questionnaire</i>	No
43. Is the OECD case database accurate and up to date with regard to cases handled by the NCP? If not, please provide details (missing cases, out of date entries, broken links, etc.) in the additional comments section below.	Yes
<p><i>Additional comments on the section Reporting specific instances:</i> Click here to enter text.</p>	

E. PEER LEARNING AND PEER REVIEWS

44. Did the NCP take part in the following activities with other NCPs during the year:

Host a peer learning activity	No
Participate in peer learning activities hosted by other NCPs	Yes
Co-operate with other NCPs in handling specific instances	Yes
Provide mentoring/capacity building to another NCP	Choose an item.
45. Is the NCP interested in hosting an NCP learning/experience-sharing event in 2022?	No
46. Which topic would the NCP consider to be a priority to cover in a peer learning event?	Handling specific instances, Due Diligence issues
47. Is the NCP interested in participating in developing tools for use by NCPs?	Yes
48. Is the NCP interested in acting as a peer reviewer in the future?	Yes
If yes, please specify the semester and the year	Fall 2022, 2023
Additional comments on the section <i>Peer-learning and peer reviews</i>: The NCP was part of the team for peer review of the Irish NCP. Depending on capacity, Norway NCP might consider participating in peer review teams in 2022/23	

F. POLICY COHERENCE³	
49. Have the Guidelines been referred to in relevant national legislation/regulations/policies adopted during the year? (e.g. on responsible business conduct; non-financial reporting, export credits regulation, public procurement)	Yes
If yes, please provide a short summary and a link to the legislation.	<p>The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises are central in the Norwegian Transparency Act. The Act was passed in June 2021 and enters into force on 1 July 2022. The Transparency Act § 4 requires enterprises to carry out due diligence in accordance with the OECD Guidelines. The definition of due diligence is closely aligned with the due diligence steps and supporting measures in the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for responsible business conduct (2018).</p> <p>The Transparency Act shall promote enterprises' respect for fundamental human rights and decent working conditions in connection with the production of goods and the provision of services and ensure the general public access to information regarding how enterprises address adverse impacts on fundamental human rights and decent working conditions. The Act applies to larger enterprises</p>

³ Paragraph 37 of the Commentary on the Implementation Procedures of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises: "Statements and reports on the results of the proceedings made publicly available by the NCPs could be relevant to the administration of government programmes and policies. In order to foster policy coherence, NCPs are encouraged to inform these government agencies of their statements and reports when they are known by the NCP to be relevant to a specific agency's policies and programmes [...]"

	<p>that are resident in Norway and that offer goods and services in or outside Norway. The Act also applies to larger foreign enterprises that offer goods and services in Norway, and that are liable to tax to Norway pursuant to internal Norwegian legislation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Link to unofficial English translation of the Act: Act relating to enterprises' transparency and work on fundamental human rights and decent working conditions (Transparency Act) - Lovdata • Link to unofficial English translation of the legislative proposal: en_prop.-150-l.-endelig-versjon-fra-oversetter.pdf (regjeringen.no) <p>The OECD Guidelines are mentioned in the legislative proposal for the implementation of the regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and regulation (EU) 2020/852 in Norwegian legislation (law on publication of sustainability information in the financial sector and a framework for sustainable investments).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Link to the law (only in Norwegian): Lov om offentliggjøring av bærekraftsinformasjon i finanssektoren og et rammeverk for bærekraftige investeringer - Lovdata • Link to the legislative proposal (only in Norwegian): Prop. 208 LS (2020–2021) (regjeringen.no) 	
50. Did your country adopt a National Action Plan (NAP) this year? <i>If yes, please indicate which type of NAP.</i>	No	Choose an item.
If yes, please provide a link.	Click here to enter text.	
If yes, does the NAP make reference to the Guidelines?	Choose an item.	
If yes, does the NAP make reference to the NCP?	Choose an item.	
51. Was a NAP in development this year? <i>If yes, please indicate which NAP.</i>	No	Choose an item.
If yes, did the NCP participate in the development of the National Action Plan?	Choose an item.	
52. Did the NCP inform officials responsible for trade missions of its relevant statements and reports?	No	
53. Did the NCP inform officials responsible for foreign trade and investment incentives of its relevant statements and reports?	Yes	
54. Did the NCP inform officials responsible for public procurement of its relevant statements and reports?	Yes	
55. Does your national legislation or policy on public procurement refer to the Guidelines and/or OECD due diligence instruments and/or to the NCP process?	Yes	

<p>If yes, please provide a link to the legislation. <i>If a link is not available, please upload a copy of the legislation in the Additional Materials section at the end of the online questionnaire</i></p>	<p>In accordance with the Public Procurement Act § 5, public organisations shall promote human rights when producing products with a high risk of human rights violations in the supply chain.</p> <p>The Norwegian Agency for Public and Financial Management (DFØ) has updated the contract performance clauses for safeguarding basic human rights in the supply chain. The contract clauses are based on the OECD Due Diligence Guidance for responsible business conduct.</p>
<p>56. Have public procurement officials/practitioners consulted the NCP on the Guidelines and OECD due diligence instruments or involved the NCP in specific public procurement opportunities?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>57. Were public procurement officials involved in any of your training/outreach activities?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>58. What tools or activities does the NCP develop to support public procurement practitioners on RBC and OECD due diligence?</p>	<p>Tools on Due diligence for responsible business conduct, tools on the OECD guidelines. No tools specific to public procurement and RBC.</p>
<p>59. Please identify any specific initiatives/good practices involving public procurement and RBC in your country.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Providing tools and templates with requirements and criteria for public tenders in line with RBC guidelines: https://www.anskaffelser.no/public-procurement/socially-responsible-public-procurement - Providing a high risk list in accordance to salience of human rights breaches in product categories common in Norwegian public procurement: https://www.anskaffelser.no/public-procurement/socially-responsible-public-procurement/information-about-high-risk-products - Expert network of public procures meeting quarterly to discuss using requirements and criteria in public tender in line with the RBC guidelines.
<p>60. Please include any other examples of policy coherence activities.</p>	<p>Click to enter text.</p>
<p>Additional comments on <i>Policy Coherence</i>: Q49-60 has been answered by the Norwegian NCP, that is independent of the government. Questions related to policy coherence when it comes to government activities should be sent to the relevant authorities directly.</p>	
<p>G. CHALLENGES</p>	
<p>61. Has the NCP faced any particular challenge(s) it would like to highlight this year?</p>	

Additional comments on Challenges:

Capacity and resource constraint in the NCP due to high demand for guidance on RBC issues in general and due diligence for RBC, and the ongoing handling of complex specific instances.

The Covid-19 situation continued to be a challenge in planning and implementing physical promotional events and meetings and has resulted in delays. However, the NCP has organised quite a few semi-physical seminars/webinars and a physical RBC course, as well as participated in numerous seminars and webinars.

Restrictions on meetings and travel due to covid has limited our outreach activities and made good offices challenging, when only possible to meet on digital platforms and not be able to travel and meet in person.

Our two mediators in one specific instance with many parties involved have found digital mediation challenging and believe the digital format has resulted in a drawn-out process. This is probably also a result of challenges in regard to coordination between NCPs involved.

Challenges due to Covid-19 in restriction of travel to meet with parties in a complaint and conduct mediation.

Challenges in communication with complainants due to social status (living in a refugee camp) and poor infrastructure/communication facilities, travel and visit restriction due to Covid-19, although complainants had a person representing them outside the camp.

Annex: NCP Promotional activities (Part 1) & Specific instances (Part 2)

Detailed information on NCP promotional activities (Annex Part 1) and on specific instances (Annex Part 2) should be provided by first following these three steps:

1. **Download** the **NCP Reporting Questionnaire 2021 Annex** word document available [here](#) on the NCP Community Site.
2. **Fill in** the document and save it locally on your computer.
3. **Upload** the document at the end of the questionnaire (after question 61) by clicking on the "**Upload files**" button when prompted on the last page of the online questionnaire (Section Annex - NCP Promotional activities & Specific Instances).

Please note that only **Word files** (.doc or .docx) can be uploaded.

Additional Materials

Additional materials, such as those prompted in certain questions during the online questionnaire (ex: rules of procedure, legislations) or other relevant documents the NCP may wish to share with the Secretariat (ex: flyers or other promotional documents), may be uploaded on the last page of the online questionnaire.

NATIONAL CONTACT POINT REPORTING QUESTIONNAIRE 2021

Annex

This document is to provide detailed information on **NCP promotional activities (Part 1 below)** and on **specific instances (Part 2 below)**.

INSTRUCTIONS

This document should be completed and saved locally on a computer and uploaded from the same computer at the end of the online questionnaire in the section “**Annex: NCP Promotional activities & Specific Instances**” (after question 61).

Please note that only **Word files** (.doc or .docx) can be uploaded.

Guidance and instructions on how to complete the questionnaire ([here](#)) and the annex ([here](#)) are accessible on the NCP O.N.E Community Space.

HELP & ASSISTANCE

Please contact jean-francois.leruste@oecd.org and emily.halstead@oecd.org for any help or assistance to complete the questionnaire.

STRUCTURE

Part 1: NCP PROMOTIONAL ACTIVITIES

Part 2: SPECIFIC INSTANCES

Please enter country name of NCP: Norway NCP

PART 1: NCP PROMOTIONAL ACTIVITIES

Please provide information on the events organised or co-organised by the NCP (Table 1), and events in which the NCP has participated to promote the Guidelines (Table 2). Please select the event type, size and type of audience as well as the theme from the dropdown menus for each event. Please add additional lines if needed.

TABLE 1

NCP-organised and co-organised events to promote the Guidelines and/or the NCP

Title	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Location	Type of event	Size of audience	Organised or co-organised?	Targeted audience <i>e.g. Business representatives, NGOs, Trade unions, Academia, General public, Government representatives, etc.</i>	Theme <i>e.g. the OECD Guidelines, the NCP activities on sector due diligence guidance documents, etc.</i>
Dialogue meeting and launch of annual report, incl panel discussion on sustainable finance	26-Apr-2021	Oslo	Webinar	50-100	Organised	Business representatives, NGOs, trade unions, academia, government representatives, general public	Covid-19 and "Build Back Better": RBC, OECD GL, due diligence and the then planned (now adopted) Transparency Act, NCP activities and complaint handling, input from stakeholders, sustainable finance.
The Transparency Act: A milestone for human rights and sustainability	19-Aug-2021	Arendal	Webinar	50-100	Co-organised	Business repr, NGOs, trade unions, academia, general public	The Transparency Act and implications for business, need for due diligence training
Course in RBC and due diligence 1	19-Oct-2021	Oslo	Meeting	10-50	Organised	Business representatives	OECD GL, due diligence, Transparency Act, the RBC compass (DD-tool)
Seminar: the OECD complaint mechanism	01-Nov-2021	Oslo	Conference	50	Organised	Business representatives, NGOs, Academia, Trade unions	OECD GL, complaint handling, mediation, Transparency Act, group work
Course in RBC and due diligence 2	22-Nov-2021	Oslo	Meeting	10-50	Organised	Business representatives	UNGP, stakeholder dialogue, OECD sectoral guidance, trade unions and international labour standards

Additional comments: The webinars 26 April and 19 August were both physical meetings and streamed.

Total number of events: 5

TABLE 2

Presentations by the NCP to promote the Guidelines and/or the NCP in events organised by others

Title	Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Location	Type of event	Size of audience	Targeted audience <i>e.g. Business representatives, NGOs, Trade unions, Academia, General public, Government representatives, etc.</i>	Organiser(s)	Theme of the intervention
How to follow up, monitor and report on human rights?	21-Jan-2021	Bergen	Webinar	10-50	Business repr.	Bergen Chamber of Commerce and Industry, Rafto Foundation	OECD GL: follow up, monitoring, reporting, due diligence, the NCP
Renewable energy and indigenous peoples' rights	02-Feb-2021	Denmark	Other	10-50	Business representatives, NGOs	The Danish Institute for Human Rights	OECD GL, complaint mechanism, mediation, renewable energy and indigenous peoples' rights
Responsible supply chains	02-Feb-2021	Oslo	Webinar	>100	Business representatives	KPMG	New EU regulations, due diligence
The Confederation of Norwegian Enterprise (NHO) Sustainable Forum	10-Feb-2021	Oslo	Webinar	10-50	Business representatives	NHO	OECD GL, the NCP, complaint mechanism
Business far away – Role, Dilemma and Challenges	18-Mar-2021	Oslo	Webinar	50-100	Business repr., NGOs, academia, general public	Nobel Peace Center	OECD GL, due diligence
Requirements and expectations in anti-corruption work in the defence sector	13/042021	Oslo	Webinar	10-50	Business repr.	Defence Forum	OECD GL, due diligence, the NCP system
Expert meeting on the HR impacts of Nordic investments in renewable energy	27-Apr-2021	Denmark	Webinar	50-100	Business repr., NGOs, HRI, financial sector	The Danish Institute for Human Rights	Challenges with access to remedy in renewable energy projects
How to comply with requirements on ethical trade from marked and authorities	29/04/2021	Oslo	Webinar	50-100	Business repr.	Ethical Trade Norway	Development in Norwegian and European law, OECD GL
Reporting on human rights and supply chains	29/04/2021	Oslo	Meeting	10-50	Business repr., NGOs	Sustainability Hub (network for sustainable supply chains)	OECD DL, due diligence
New RBC requirements	03/05/2021	Oslo	Webinar	10-50	Oslo City Government, public procurers in the municipality	City of Oslo	OECD GL, due diligence, new law and requirements
Nordic Baltic NCP Network meeting	12/05/2021	Copenhagen	Webinar	50-100	Nordic-Baltic NCPs	Denmark NCP	Business survey on RBC, digital mediation, Transparency Act
Democracy and inclusion in Oslo Science City	19/05/2021	Oslo		10-50	Business representatives, academia, public sector		RBC, the role of knowledge institutions
Course: RBC in the Chinese market	20/05/2021	Oslo	Webinar	10-50	Business representatives	The Confederation of Norwegian Enterprise	New RBC requirements, OECD GL
Global social sustainability	26/05/2021	Oslo	Webinar	10-50	Building and estate sector	Norwegian Green Building Council	SDGs, OECD GL, new requirements
Business conference on internationalization and development	01/06/2021	Oslo	Webinar	>100	Business representatives, authorities	The Confederation of Norwegian Enterprise, Innovation Norway	Back to business, but not business as usual, RBC, OECD GL
Future proof seminar	02/06/2021	Bergen	Webinar	10-50	Business representatives, academia	Bergen Chamber of Commerce and Industry, Rafto Foundation	Expectations to reporting, monitoring, transparency, OECD GL
International Commission of Jurists (ICJ)	08/06/2021	Oslo	Seminar	50	Business representatives, academia, lawyers, NGOs	ICJ	HR challenges related to building infrastructure for renewable energy

Course: RBC in the Chinese market	09/06/2021	Oslo	Webinar	10-50	Business representatives	The Confederation of Norwegian Enterprise	New RBC requirements, OECD GL
OECD Global Forum: side session	15-17/06/2021	Paris	Webinar	50		OECD	Norway NCP secretariat on NCPs' role in promoting RBC: tools, courses etc
Internal seminar, Ministry of Trade, Industry and Fisheries	02/09/2021	Oslo	Meeting	<10	Authorities	The ministry's ownership department	Law development Norway and EU, OECD GL
Ministry of Foreign Affairs: Preparatory course for embassy employees	08/09/2021	Oslo	Webinar	10-50	MFA employees	MFA	RBC, OECD GL, NCPs
OECD's stocktaking process and the new Transparency Act	09/09/2021	Oslo	Webinar	10	NGOs	Forum for Development and Environment	OECD's stocktaking process, OECD GL: strengths and weaknesses. Transparency Act
University of Oslo, Norwegian Centre for Human Rights	16/09/2021	Oslo	Course	10-50	Academia	Norwegian Centre for HR	OECD GL, specific instances
Sustainability Hub (network for sustainable supply chains)	20/09/2021	Oslo	Webinar	50	Business representatives	S-Hub, Ethical Trade Norway	Law development, RBC, OECD GL
Forum for the main bar associations	22/09/2021	Oslo	Conference	50	Lawyers	The Norwegian Bar Association	OECD GL, specific instances
Webinar for procurers and enterprises	28/09/2021	Oslo	Webinar	>100	Procurers, business representatives	The Norwegian Agency for Public and Financial Management	OECD GL, law development Norway and EU, Transparency Act
Seminar for foreign service trainees	06/10/2021	Oslo	Meeting	10-50	Trainees, government representatives	Ministry of Foreign Affairs	RBC, OECD GL, Transparency Act
Just Add Finance – social sustainability	03/11/2021	Oslo	Webinar	>100	Finance sector	Finance Sector Union of Norway	International guidelines for RBC, Transparency Act
Oslo Compliance Forum: RBC	05/11/2021	Oslo	Webinar	>100	Lawyers	Wiersholm law firm	RBC, Transparency Act: why and how
Globalisation Conference: responsible garment industry	20/22/2021	Oslo	Conference	10-50	NGOs, students	Future in our hands	Transparency Act, OECD GL, DD, OECD guidance on garment and footwear
OECD NCP Network Meeting	25/11/2021	Paris	Webinar	50-100	NCPs	OECD	Norwegian NCP business survey on RBC
Transparency Act	29/11/2021	Oslo	Webinar/Conference	>100	Business repr, NGOs	Amnesty Int. Norway	OECD GL, due diligence, Transparency Act
Conference: Transparency Act	03/12/2021	Oslo	Webinar/Conference	>100	Business repr., NGOs, academia, trade unions, government repr.	Ministry of Trade and Fisheries; Ministry of Foreign Affairs; Ministry of Children and Families	Transparency Act, due diligence
'Protect, respect and remedy: In memory of John G. Ruggie (1944 – 2021)' - Oslo Peace Days	07/12/2021	Oslo	Webinar	10-50	Academia	Norwegian Centre for Human Rights	UNGP +10
Transparency Act, OECD Guidelines and Reporting	08/12/2021	Oslo	Webinar	50-100	Business representatives	UN Global Compact Norway	OECD GL, specific instances

Additional comments:

Total number of events:

PART 2: SPECIFIC INSTANCES

Please provide details of the following in the table below:

1. Specific instances **in progress** during the year (specific instances received and pending initial assessment, or found to merit further examination after the initial assessment and under consideration by the NCP).
2. Specific instances **concluded** during the year (specific instances that the NCP found to merit further examination after the initial assessment and that have subsequently been closed).
3. Specific instances **not accepted** during the year (specific instances that the NCP found not to merit further examination after initial assessment).
4. Specific instances for which **follow up** was performed during the year (the NCP monitored whether the agreement reached by the parties or its recommendations to the parties have been implemented)

Please add additional lines if needed.

We encourage all NCPs to inform the OECD Secretariat on received specific instances and to provide a final statement as soon as it becomes available, in order to keep the OECD database updated at all times. For all specific instances that have not yet been reported to the OECD Secretariat, please complete and send this [form](#) to ncp-specific-instances@oecd.org¹.

¹ According to paragraph 42 of the Commentary on the Implementation Procedures of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, “[...] NCPs will report to the Investment Committee in order to include in the Annual Report on the OECD Guidelines information on all specific instances that have been initiated by parties, including those that are in the process of an initial assessment, those for which offers of good offices have been extended and discussions are in progress, and those in which the NCP has decided not to extend an offer of good offices after an initial assessment”.

For all specific instances that are currently IN PROGRESS:

Names of parties	Date received <i>(dd/mm/yyyy)</i>	Is the initial assessment publicly available?	Link to OECD database if available	Did the NCP co-operate with other NCPs during the handling of this specific instance? If yes, please describe.
KTNC Watch, Workers Support Team & Total E&P Norge, Total, Equinor, TechnipFMC, Samsung Heavy Industries	20 March 2019	Yes	http://mneguidelines.oecd.org/databases/instances/no0016.htm	Yes, with NCPs in France, UK and Korea. Norway NCP is lead NCP for the complaint that deals with the companies in Norway, UK and France.
Committee Seeking Justice for Alethankyaw (CSJA) & Telenor	19 December 2019	Yes	http://mneguidelines.oecd.org/databases/instances/no0017.htm	No.
Four trade unions (IUF, EFFAT-IUF, SEIU, UGT) & Norges Bank Investment Management (NBIM)	18-May-2020	Yes	Instances - Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (oecd.org)	Yes, with the Dutch and the US NCPs. The case was initially submitted to the Dutch NCP. The Dutch, US and Norwegian NCPs agreed to split the case into three separate specific instances, with close coordination.
Centre for Research on Multinational Corporations (SOMO) on behalf of 474 civil society organisations in Myanmar & Telenor	27-July-2021	Yes		No.

Additional comments:

Total number of specific instances:

4

For all specific instances that were CONCLUDED during the year:

Title	Date received <i>(dd/mm/yyyy)</i>	Date concluded <i>(dd/mm/yyyy)</i>	Link to OECD database if available	Outcomes achieved	Does the final statement plan for a follow up?	Did the NCP co-operate with other NCPs during the handling of this specific instance? If yes, please describe.
Society for Threatened Peoples Switzerland & BKW Group	16 January 2020	Yes	http://mneguidelines.oecd.org/database/instances/ch0020.htm	Agreement between the parties.	Yes, in six months.	Norway NCP is support NCP. NCP Switzerland handled the case.

Additional comments:

Total number of specific instances:

1

For all specific instances that were NOT ACCEPTED during the year:

Title	Date received <i>(dd/mm/yyyy)</i>	Link to OECD database if available	Reasons for not accepting the specific instance:	Did the NCP co-operate with other NCPs during the handling of this specific instance? If yes, please describe.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter a date.	Click here to enter text.	Choose an item.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter a date.	Click here to enter text.	Choose an item.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter a date.	Click here to enter text.	Choose an item.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter a date.	Click here to enter text.	Choose an item.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter a date.	Click here to enter text.	Choose an item.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter a date.	Click here to enter text.	Choose an item.	Click here to enter text.

Additional comments:

Total number of specific instances:

0

For all specific instances for which FOLLOW UP was performed during the year:

Title	Date concluded <i>(dd/mm/yyyy)</i>	Date of follow up <i>(dd/mm/yyyy)</i>	Was a public follow up statement issued?	Link to the follow up statement, if applicable
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter a date.	Click here to enter a date.	Choose an item.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter a date.	Click here to enter a date.	Choose an item.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter a date.	Click here to enter a date.	Choose an item.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter a date.	Click here to enter a date.	Choose an item.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter a date.	Click here to enter a date.	Choose an item.	Click here to enter text.
Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter a date.	Click here to enter a date.	Choose an item.	Click here to enter text.

Additional comments:	
-----------------------------	--

Total number of specific instances:	0
--	---